RICE WATER BALANCE ON GRUMUSOLS IN THE GIANT'S TANK

· 30 4

COMMAND AREA, MANNAR DISTRICT

By

BAMUNUSINGHE WAIDYATILAKE MUDIYANSELAGE DHARMATILAKE BANDARA B.Sc. (Agric.) (Sri Lanka)

Thesis

Submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements

for the degree of

MASTER OF PHILOSOPHY

in

Agriculture

in the

POSTGRADUATE INSTITUTE OF AGRICULTURE

of the

UNIVERSITY OF PERADENIYA, SRI LANKA

Approved.

som Mangan! FRack Som whi

Examination Committee



AGRICULTURE LIBRARY UNIVERSITY OF PERADENIYA

357203

## . Abstract

-i.-

The study was conducted on Grumusol rice soil in the command area of the Giant's Tank. The field water requirement for rice cultivation was estimated by lysimetry and the results were compared with field water use estimated from tank issues and land area irrigated.

The total field requirement, including evapotranspiration, percolation and water use prior to planting, was 1624mm for a yala crop and 1930mm for a maha crop. The evapotranspiration of transplanted rice was 681mm for a yala crop, irrigated over 80 days, compared with 597mm for a maha crop, irrigated over 100 days. The daily rate of evapotranspiration varied from 4-10mm/day.

In the lysimeter daily percolation losses increased progressively during both yala and maha seasons, varying from 3.5 to 10mm/day. The relative proportions of evapotranspiration and percolation were different in the two seasons (evapotranspiration was 54 and 39%, whereas percolation was 46 and 61%). The preplanting r quirement was 22% of the total field requirement in yala and 21% in maha.

The land area irrigated during maha 1979-80 exceeded 11,000 ha, whereas the water issued from seven sluices of the Giant's Tank was estimated as equivalent to less than 640mm, the rainfall was just 903mm. This volume would only irrigate a maximum of 60% of the area (ie. 6852 ha) at the field water requirement level indicated by the lycimeter study. The discrepancy may be due to contributions from minor tanks, measurement errors in land area and water issues or over-estimates of needs by the lycimeter method, particularly of actual percolation losses. Estimates of reference crop evapotranspiration and crop coefficients are given for the area, calculated from a modified Penman procedure and from Chass A pan evaporation. Comparisons with actual evapotranspiration are given, but only for one maha season.

There was no persistant hazard due to salinity and alkalinity recorded during the observation period.