## Land Allocation Decisions in a Tank Command in Karnataka: A Study of Risk Profiles

K.P.A. Reddy and L. Achoth<sup>1</sup>

Department of Agricultural Economics Ur iversity of Agricultural Sciences Hebbal, Bangalore - 560 024 Karnataka, India

**ABSTRACT.** This study focuses on risk management strategies for a tank irrigated command, through the evolution of optimal crop models for areas located at different distances from the tank. The specific objective of the study is to develop an optimum crop pattern under conditions of risk for which the minimization of the total absolute deviation (MOTAD) technique was used. The existing cropping pattern of the farmers in the command area is characteristic of an over riding concern for sustainability with risk consideration importance. For high risk profiles the model recommended specialisation as against diversification for low risk. Farmers growing diverse crops on their fields tended to include leguminous and deep rooted crops which was not entirely dictated by profitability considerations, but due to an abiding concern for preserving the soil fertility.

### INTRODUCTION

Irrigation has become *sine qua non* of agriculture and rural development in India. Without adequate and timely water supplies, the potential of high-yielding varieties, fertilizers, pesticides and other improved cultivation techniques cannot be realised. The major sources of irrigation are tanks, canals and wells. Tanks constitute the oldest means of providing irrigation water. However tank irrigated area has been dwindling over the years. Furthermore, the tanks have attained the status of an unreliable source of irrigation and the farmers are exposed to high degrees of risks and uncertainty. Water being a scarce resource, its judicious utilisation to obtain maximum returns is imperative. Several studies have been undertaken on tank management, its constraints and performances (Palanisami and Easter, 1984).

4

Department of Dairy Economics and Business Management, University of Agricultural Sciences, Hebbal, Bangalore - 560 024, Karnataka, India.

However, little work has been done on studying the impact of risk on the cropping patterns in tank command areas.

This study focuses on the risk aspects of tank irrigation with the objective of developing optimal crop patterns for different reaches of the tank command consistent with varying levels of risk.

The study was carried out at the macro level for the entire tank command area to develop an optimum land use pattern for various levels of risk at different reaches of the tank command. 4

÷.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

Gundamgere tank situated in Doddaballapur Taluk in Karntaka, an important tank in the region, was selected for the study. This tank has a command area spanning 407 hectares and a catchment area of 41 sq.km.

The tank command was divided into three regions based on the distance from the tank. This was done as location of the land had a bearing on the water availability and consequently on the cropping pattern. The identified regions were the upper, middle and lower reaches situated up to 1050, 2100 and 3150 meters respectively, from the tank. A sample of 90 farmers were drawn, distributed equally between the 3 regions.

Data pertaining to the crops grown, inputs used and the output and values realised were collected from the respondents for the year 1994–95. In addition yields of the various crops in the tank command were collected for the previous 5 years as well, to facilitate the study of risk.

Linear programming with parametric provisions is a valuable tool in water resource planning. The optimal crop patterns were generated using MOTAD (Minimisation of Total Absolute Deviations) model developed by Hazell (1971). Input-output coefficients were derived from the sample and command level aggregate areas as constraints. The model was used by Mruthyunjaya and Sirohi (1979) in developing plans for drought prone areas.

The model can be stated as follows:

$$Minimise \ Z = \sum_{h=1}^{S} Y_{h}^{-} + Y_{h}^{+}$$

Subject to

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} (C_{hj} - g_j) X_j + Y_h^* \le 0 \quad (for \ h, \ h = 1, 2.....s)$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} f_x X_j \quad (0 - unb \circ unded)$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij} X_i \le bi \quad (for, \ ij = 1, 2 \dots m)$$

and,

$$X_j, Y_h^- \ge 0$$
 (for  $j, h$ )

where;

**.** 

Z	=	Sum of the absolute values of the negative and positive deviations of the gross incomes of crop activities, from their respective mean values.
Y <sup>-</sup> <sub>h</sub> and Y <sup>+</sup> <sub>h</sub>		Absolute values of the negative and positive value of the total gross income deviations in respect of activities in the $h^{\mu}$ year from their mean.
$X_j$	=	With the levels of 'j' decision variable which pertains to area of crop 'j'.
C <sub>hi</sub>	=	Gross returns of j <sup>th</sup> activity in the h <sup>th</sup> year.
C <sub>hj</sub> g <sub>j</sub> f <sub>j</sub>	=	Mean values of the gross return of the j <sup>th</sup> activity.
f <sub>i</sub>	=	Gross margin coefficient of j <sup>th</sup> activity in Rs/acre.
a <sub>ij</sub> bi	=	Input coefficient of the i <sup>th</sup> resource of the j <sup>th</sup> activity.
bi	=	Availability of ith resource.
S	=	Number of time series observation, and
n	=	Number of activities.

The variation ir total gross margins were examined by computing the standard deviation and coefficient of variation (Reddy, 1997).

The analysis was carried out imposing a credit limit of Rs. 5000/ household which was about the average level of borrowing among the sample households. Further, two levels of risk were studied to discern their impact on

the cropping pattern which was juxtaposed with the existing cropping pattern of the sample.

### Low risk

This was form lated to accommodate a level of risk, where 70% of expected income from the existing resources was targeted.

### High risk

This derives the optimum land use pattern with a higher level of risk, where the target income was set at 90% of the expected income.

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

### Upper reach

The results of land utilization with low risk among farmers of the upper reach are presented in Table 1. It is observed that during the Kharif season the model recommended a decrease in area under ragi to 21.08 acres primarily to meet the focd requirement of the households as well as for fodder purpose, which it was constrained to satisfy at the outset. There was no change in area under maize in red soils, but in black soils area under maize increased marginally. During the Rabi season, same significant changes are indicated. Sunflower is recommended on 21 acres in sandy soil and 6.75 acres of red soils. Black soils were to be left fallow. However, with an increase in the level of risk, much of the fallow land is to be brought under sunflower cultivation in red soils during the Rabi season. The major casualty in this shift is groundnut.

In the Kharif dryland areas situated outside the tank command area, redgram is recommended for the entire area, completely replacing the area under ragi. And in well irrigated lands paddy continues to be recommended in all the models.

4

Table 1.Cropping pattern in the existing plan and varying levels of<br/>risk on the upper reach with restricted credit situation in<br/>tank command area (acres).

Particulars	······	Existing plan	Low risk	High risk		
A. Kharif Tank irrigated land						
Sandy Loam	(1) Ragi (2) Redgram	24.50 (9.23) 5.50 (2.07)	21.08 (10.00) -	21.08 (8.81) -		
Black Soil	(1) Maize (2) Redgram	19.50 (7.34) 3.00 (1.13)	22.50 (10.71) -	22.50 (9.4) -		
Red Soil	(1) Maiz <del>c</del> Fallow land	41.00 (15.44) -	41.0 (19.52) 8.92	41.0 (17.14) 8.92		
B. Rabi Tan	k irrigated land					
Sandy Loam	(1) Groundnut (2) Sunflower	17.50 (6.59) 3.50 (1.33)	- 21.0 (9.99)	- 21.0 (8.78)		
Black Soil	(1) Groundnut (2) Sunflower	14.00 (3.28) 3.00 (1.13)	• •	•		
Red Soil	(1) Groundmit (2) Sunflower Fallow land	31.50 (11.86) 4.50 (1.69) -	- 6.75 (3.21) 46.25	36.0 (15.05) 17.0		
C. Kharifdr	yland					
Sandy Loam	(1) Redgram (2) Ragi Fallow land	13.50 (5.08) 72.50 (27.31) 3.00	89.00 (42.38) - -	89.00 (37.19) - -		
D. Kharif w	ell irrigated land					
Sandy Loam	(I) Paddy Fallow land	12.00 (4.52) 2.5	8.69 (4.51) 3.31	13.89 (5.81) -		
Total cropped area		265.50 (100)	210.02 (100)	239.27 (100)		
Expected income (E) (million Rs)		0.978	0.775	0.997		
Mean absolute deviation (A: (million Rs)		-	0.115	0.161		
Standard Deviation (8) (million Rs)		-	0.161	0.225		
Coefficient of variation (cv) (%)		-	2.270	2.448		

Figures in parenthesis indicate percentage to the total area.

بغبته ا

Star me with

. •

· .....

# Table 2.Cropping pattern in the existing plan and varying levels of<br/>risk on the middle reach with restricted crédit situation in<br/>tank command area (acres).

Particulars		Existing plan	Low risk	High risk			
A. Kharif Tank irrigated land							
Sandy Loam	(1) Ragi (2) Redgram	36.00 (9.11) 7.00 (1.77)	15.72 (5.80) 22.27 (10.07)	16.66 (5.06) 15.30 (4.64)			
Black Soil	(1) Maize (2) Redgram	24.00 (6.08) 4.00 (1.01)	28.00 (10.35) -	28.00 (8.5) -			
Red Soil	(1) Maize Fallow land	54.50 (13.79) -	54.50 (20.14) -	54.50 (16.55) 11.04			
B. Rabi Tank irrigated land							
Sandy Loam	(1) Groundn.it (2) Sunflower	31.00 (7.85) 7.00 (1.77)	- -	- 22.7 (6.89)			
Black Soil	(1) Groundn .t (2) Sunflower	21.00 (5.32) 3.50 (0.89)	- 24.5 (9.06)	- 24.5 (7.42)			
Red Soil	(1) Groundn it (2) Sunflower Fallow land	46.00 (11.65) 3.00 (0.75) -	- 22.97 (8.48) 64.03	- 49.0 (14.88) 15.3			
C. Kharifdr	yland						
Sandy Loam	(1) Redgram (2) Ragi Fallow land	18.00 (4.56) 122.00 (30.89) 5.00	70.58 (26.09) 19.55 (7.23) 49.87	93.04 (28.25) 18.18 (5.52) 28.78			
D. Kharif well irrigated land							
Sandy Loam	(1) Paddy Fallow land	18.00 (4.56) -	7.47 (2.76) 10.53	7.47 (2.27) 10.53			
Total cropped area		385.00 (100)	270.56 (100)	329.35 (100)			
Expected income (E) (million Rs)		1.470	1.098	1.4721			
Mean absolute deviation (A) (million Rs)		•	0.178	0.252			
Standard Deviation (8) (mil ion Rs)		-	0.249	0.352			
Coefficient of variation (cv) (%)		-	2.267	2.493			

Figures in parenthesis indicate percentage to the total area.

#### Land Allocation Decisions in a Tank Command in Karnataka

e'

Middle reach

- 4:

÷.

The results of the normative cropping pattern in the middle reach of the tank command area are presented in Table 2.

In the Kharif season, in tank irrigated lands, area under ragi is reduced considerably from 36.0 acres to 16.16 acres. Ragi is mainly grown as a food crop as well as a fodder crop. Area under maize did not change in red soils but in black soils however i: increased marginally.

In the Rabi season, sunflower was the sole crop to be recommended under low risk, both in black and red soils. At a higher level of risk only sunflower was indicated for sandy loam soils, black soils and red soils. Therefore, when the farmers aspire for higher incomes only, sunflower is to be grown in sandy soils.

Further, in the Kharif dryland areas situated outside the tank command area, redgram is recommended on 93.04 acres and ragi on 18.81 acres. In well irrigated land areas, paddy continues to be recommended.

The income increases only marginally from Rs. 1.47 million to Rs. 1.472 million.

### Lower reach

The results for the lower reach is presented in Table 3.

During the Kharif season, area of tank irrigated lands under ragi decreased from 34.05 acres to 21.74 acres and that under maize increased from 27.95 acres to 36.39 acres in red soils. When the level of risk was increased, in sandy loam soils, area under redgram increased significantly to 18.76 acres, whereas the same trend is not observed in the black soils during the Kharif season.

During the Rabi season, the entire area under groundnut in the black soils shifted to the mcre profitable and less risky sunflower crop in the normative plan. The sandy loam and red soils are completely left fallow. However the farmers have shown a strong tendency to grow groundnut during

.

# Table 3.Cropping pattern in the existing plan and varying levels of<br/>risk on the lower reach with restricted credit situation in<br/>tank command area (acres).

Particulars		Existing plan	Low risk	High risk			
A. Kharif Tank irrigated land							
Sandy Loam	(1) Ragi (2) Redgram	34.50 (8.65) 6.00 (1.50)	21.74 (7.65) 18.76 (6.6)	21.74 (6.83) 18.76 (5.89)			
Black Soil	(1) Maize (2) Redgram	25.50 (6.39) 2.00 (0.50)	27.50 (9.67) -	27.5 (8.63) -			
Red Soil	(1) Maize Fallow land	53.00 (13.28) -	25.95 (9.13) 27.05	36.39 (10.83) 16.61			
B. Rabi Tan	k irrigated land						
Sandy Loam	(1) Groundnut (2) Sunflower	24.5 (6.14) 4.00 (1.00)	- -	- -			
Black Soil	(1) Groundnut (2) Sunflower	19.50 (4.89) 4.00 (1.00)	- 23.5 (8.27)	- 23.5 (6.99)			
Red Soil	(1) Groundnul (2) Sunflower Fallow land	45.50 (11.40) 4.50 (1.14) -	- - 78.50	- - 78.5			
C. Kharifdı	ryland						
Sandy Loam	(1) Redgram (2) Ragi Fallow land	21.00 (5.26) 127.5 (31.95) 4.00	152.50 (53.69) - -	152.50 (45.38) - -			
D. Kharif well irrigated land							
Sandy Loam	(1) Paddy Fallow land	27.50 (6.89) 4.00	14.34 (5.04) 13.16	8.77 (2.61) 18.75			
Total cropped area		<b>399.00 (100)</b> .	284.29 (100)	336.07 (100)			
Expected income (E) (million Rs)		1.518	0.987	1.269			
Mean absolute deviation (A) (million Rs))		•	0.165	0.116			
Standard Deviation (8) (million Rs)		• .	0.232	0.372			
Coefficient of variation (cv) (%)		-	2.349	<b>2.929</b> ·			

÷

Figures in parenthesis ndicate percentage to the total area.

the Rabi season rather than leave the land fallow. This behaviour could be a reflection of the farmers' concern for sustainability as groundnut is a nitrogenous fixing leguninous crop.

In the Kharif dryland areas situated outside the tank command area, red gram is recommenced for the entire area, completely replacing the area under ragi. In well irrigated lands paddy continues to be recommended. The income however decrea as marginally.

The results of lifferent cropping pattern in the tank command area, viz., upper, middle and ower reaches, indicated alternative cropping patterns and associated risk leve s along with the magnitude of net farm returns, from which, the farmers can choose any one of the plans depending upon their attitude towards risk. Similar findings were reported by Ratnam *et al.* (1979) who suggested that through the rational reorganization of resources, the same level of output could b: produced by saving a net of 35.65 percent of land. Gajanana and Sharma (1990) identified significant avenues for enhancing the return in all the farm sizes by merely reallocating the resources. The study also indicated that liberal credit and improved technology would increase income prospects and enlarge the employment opportunities.

### CONCLUSIONS

A linear approximation of the quadratic programming (MOTAD) was used in deriving risk efficient farm plans. Positive correlation between the magnitude of risk and th : levels of net farm returns is confirmed by the study. An observation that can be made based on the results of the study is that the tank command farmers are concerned more about sustainability and less about risk, as the existing cropping pattern had greater diversity and included nutrient fixing crops like groundnut and redgram, whereas, the normative plan suggested more of sunflower. However the farmers were found to be coping with risk by ensuring their domestic requirement of food at least partially, by cultivating ragi. Further the farmers were cultivating groundnut despite being an expensive crop for cultivation rather than sunflower, perhaps to enrich the soil which is indeed commendable from the sustainability stand point of view. Agricultural developmer t should concentrate on supplying resources and new technology for the region. A micro level approach is necessary in the management of small scale reservoirs exposed to risks and uncertainties of farm income by way of optim al crop-mix based on water availability situation.

÷,

۰.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

÷

The authors are grateful to Dr. H.G. Hanumappa, Dr. M.G. Bhende, Dr. M.G. Chandrakanth and Dr. N. Nagaraj for their earlier comments and to the anonymous referees of the Journal for their valuable comments.

### REFERENCES

- Gajanana, T.M. and Sharma, E.M. (1990). Income and employment prospectus of drought prone farmers – Role of credit and technology. Agricultural Situation in India. 45(5): 307-312.
- Hazell, P.B.R. (1971). A linear alternative to quadratic and semivariance programming for farm planning under uncertainty. Am. J. of Agric. Econ. 53(1): 53-67.
- Mruthyanjaya and Sirohi. (1979). An economic analysis of risk on drought prone areas in Bijapur district - Karnalaka. Unpublished Ph.D. thesis submitted to Post Graduate School, IARI, New Delhi.
- Palanisami, K. and Ester, K.W. (1984). The tanks of south India A potential for future expansion in irrigation. Economic Report, Department of Agricultural and Applied Economics, University of Minnesota.
- Ratnam, N.V., Rao, M.R. and Viswanathan, Y.K. (1979). Landuse pattern and agricultural policy - A macrolevel approach to resource management in developing countries. Centre for Agriculture and Rural Development, IIM, Bangalore.
- Reddy, K.P.A. (1997). Optimum land use pattern: A case study of Gundamgere tank command in Bangalore rural district. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Agril. Sciences, Bangalore, Karnataka State, India.